


Implementation of ACTS-based track reconstruction for the forward detector in the MPD experiment at NICA

Nazar Burmasov^{1,2,3}, Evgeny Kryshen^{1,2}

¹NRC "Kurchatov Institute" - PNPI, Gatchina

²Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna


³National Research Nuclear University "MEPhI", Moscow




July 5th, 2025, NUCLEUS-2025, St. Petersburg

The work has been supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation, Project "Fundamental and applied research at the NICA (JINR) megascience experimental complex" № FSWU-2025-0014


MPD: Stage I setup




Extend rapidity coverage with forward tracker?



Physics motivation





- TPC covers only ~55% of particle production yield in central events
→ Forward tracker would allow us to cover more than 80%



- Production of light nuclei mainly at forward rapidities
→ forward tracker would allow one to study the interplay of coalescence and baryon stopping mechanisms

And more...



- The **horn and the step** effects at forward rapidities
- **Anisotropic flow**: limiting fragmentation mechanism, temperature dependence of QGP etc. v_2
- **Thermal photons** via conversions on TPC endcaps
- Global **polarization of Λ hyperons**: rapidity dependence?
- Improve precision of **centrality and reaction plane** determination
- Improved **trigger efficiency** for small systems
- Possibility to access various observables of the **SPD physics program**
- Aspects of **non-perturbative QCD**, e.g. diffractive studies, QCD instanton




ACTS project

<https://acts.readthedocs.io/>

- A Common Tracking Software project
- Contains:
 - Box generator or interface to read external particles
 - Fatras (fast simulation tool) or interface to read hits
 - Digitization algorithm (smearing etc)
 - Seeding (several algorithms, including truth seeding)
 - Track finding/fitting with Combinatorial KF
- Accounting for energy losses, multiple scattering etc.
- Supporting multi-core execution, GPU etc.



$$\vec{x} = (l_0, l_1, \phi, \theta, q/p, t)^T$$



Using latest v4l.1 from nicadist

Many thanks to Slavomir Hnatic and Jan Busa for integration of latest ACTS package in mpdroot!

Getting used to ACTS tracking algorithms...

Getting used to ACTS tracking algorithms...

Considering “ideal” tracker:


- 5 tracking layers placed between 210 and 300 cm
- $R_{\text{inner}} = 35.7 \text{ cm} \rightarrow \eta_{\text{max}} = 2.47$
- $R_{\text{outer}} = 130 \text{ cm} \rightarrow \eta_{\text{min}} = 1.55$
- Thickness per layer: 200 μm of silicon $\sim 0.2\% X_0$
- Gaussian smearing in x and y with $\sigma = 80 \mu\text{m}$

Simulation config:



- **Particle gun** (π or p) with p_T from 0.1 to 1 GeV
- Built-in **fatras transport** (only EM processes)
- **Seed finding** using hits on first three layers (adopted seed finding algorithm for cylindrical layers)
- Track finding with **combinatorial Kalman filter**

Study:

- Seeding and tracking efficiency vs p_T and η
- p_T resolution vs p_T and η
- Pulls (residuals normalized to estimated uncertainty)





Tracking efficiency



- Perfect efficiency for pions and protons in all eta regions
- Drop at 0.1 GeV due to limitation of the default seeding algorithm (curvature radius should be larger than $R_{\max}/2$)

Momentum resolution




$$\left. \frac{\Delta p_T}{p_T} \right|_{\text{m.s.}} = \frac{N}{(N+1)(N-1)} \frac{0.0136 \text{ GeV}}{0.3\beta BL} \sqrt{\frac{d_{\text{tot}}}{X_0 \cos \theta}} \left(1 + 0.038 \ln \frac{d}{X_0 \cos \theta} \right)$$

$$\left. \frac{\Delta p_T}{p_T} \right|_{\text{res.}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{hit}} p_T}{0.3BL^2} \sqrt{\frac{720N^3}{(N-1)(N+1)(N+2)(N+3)}}$$

- Momentum resolution is within 3-11% depending on p_T and pseudorapidity
- Strong effect of multiple scattering for protons at low p_T
- Perfect agreement of ACTS fits with theory

Towards more realistic tracking

FTD simulations in mpdroot





Basic FTD geometry and hit producer embedded in mpdroot

- 5 tracking layers placed between 210 and 300 cm
- Thickness per layer: $0.2\% X_0$
- Gaussian smearing in x and y with $\sigma = 100 \mu\text{m}$

Geometry hierarchy in ACTS: volumes



Volumes:



Fully connected geometry:


- Common boundary surfaces are **glued** (e.g. FTD and EndCap)
- If boundary is shared by several volumes, volumes must be **attached** to boundary (e.g. TPC0... TPC11 to pipe boundary)

Surfaces in TPC, FTD, Pipe and EndCap volumes




- Measurements (TPC hits) must be attached to surfaces


Integrated radiation length: $160 < z < 200$ cm



Integrated radiation length: $160 < z < 200$ cm



- Surfaces are used to account for multiple scattering effects





- Endcap: toy model with ROC-like and Frame-like layers

TPC tracking


Typical MC and reconstructed hit distributions in TPC

Peripheral URQMD event: Au-Au @ 11 GeV




- McTracks, McPoints, and TPC hits converted to ACTS format
- Using realistic hits from MLEM clustering algorithm (MpdRoot)

Examples from UrQMD generator (AuAu @ 11 GeV)




red: seeds


green: reconstructed tracks



Momentum resolution






→ Significant tails and biases in p_T residual plots with the KF from ACTS



→ Momentum resolution with KF from ACTS significantly worse compared to KF implementation in MpdRoot (by A. Zinchenko)

Refitting with Global Chi2 fitter



- Custom refitting algorithm developed to explore different fitting options (KF, Global Chi2 etc.)
- Much better residuals with Global Chi2
- p_T resolution with Global Chi2 fitter appears to be much better compared to KF from ACTS and also slightly better compared to KF from MpdRoot



- The refitting algorithm can also be used to refit reconstructed tracks with different mass hypotheses

Towards TPC+FTD tracking

FTD tracking with TPC seeds



- FTD hits converted to ACTS format
- Use TPC hits for seeding (1,4,7 padrows)
- Apply combinatorial KF to attach TPC and FTD measurements to tracks

FTD tracking performance: efficiency (Boxgen)

Seeding:





- TPC: for TPC and FTD+TPC
- FTD: for FTD

Shown trackable efficiencies, minimum requirements:



- TPC: hits in 1, 4, 7 padrows
- TPC+FTD: hits in 1, 4, 7 padrows, at least 3 hits in FTD
- FTD: 5 hits in FTD
- ALL: stay away from end-cap frame ($\sim 110\% X_0$)

Results:

- Close to perfect efficiencies for single-track boxgen



TPC+FTD tracking performance (UrQMD)




- Efficiency with standard 1-4-7 seeding


FTD(+TPC) tracking resolution

- **KF**: Biased momentum estimate with long tails
- **Global Chi2**: Much better Gaussian-like distributions
- **FTD significantly improves** momentum resolution, especially at large eta
- Combined **FTD+TPC fit further improves momentum resolution**
- TPC-FTD-matching helps to **improve DCA resolution**




Resolution from TPC-only fit for pions at $\eta = 1.6$



Momentum resolution




DCA resolution




ACTS tracking in strip-like forward detector

2D tracking vs 1D tracking





- 5 stations with pixel-like 2D layers





- 4 stations with 2 strip-like 1D layers + 1 pixel-like 2D layer
- In strip-like stations:
 - first layer measures x coordinate
 - second layer measures y coordinate

Typical UrQMD event in strip-like forward detector station



Simple strip-like geometry with 1 cm strips, second layer rotated by 90 degrees



High-multiplicity UrQMD event example



Too high occupancy... Consider thinner/shorter/segmented strips?

Reducing occupancy...



Occupancy in central Au-Au events @ 11 GeV





Long 1 cm strips: **occupancy up to 42%** Reduced acceptance, 5-mm strips: **occupancy below 19%**

- Strip width can be reduced, e.g. 5-mm straw tubes or MSGCs
- Reducing acceptance of all stations to $1.55 < \eta < 1.95$:
 - $\eta < 1.55$: tracks can be reconstructed in TPC with reasonable p_T resolution (better than 10%)
 - $\eta > 1.95$: large material budget in TPC endcaps - need dedicated study/detector technology

High-multiplicity UrQMD event example with 5mm strips





Problem of ghosts



- Ghosts: spacepoints build from all possible intersections of strips fired by different particles



FTD tracking efficiency in central events





- Seeds using spacepoints (including ghosts) at 1, 3 and 5 stations
- Analysing tracks with 9 MC hits (all layers)
- Reconstruction efficiency (>7 true hits): 80-85%
- Fraction of fake tracks (<6 true hits): ~2.3%
- Momentum resolution similar to 2D-hit setup

Segmented strips (2 rings)

Occupancy in central events



FTD tracking efficiency with 2 rings



- Improved reconstruction efficiency (>7 true hits): ~90%
- Reduced fraction of fake tracks (<6 true hits): ~1.3%
- Further fine tuning and optimization ongoing

Conclusions and outlook

- Strong physics potential of the forward tracker
- ACTS - powerful track reconstruction tool
- Reasonable performance of TPC+FTD tracking with ACTS
- Developed custom refitting algorithm in ACTS framework
- Developed custom spacepoint producer from 1D strip-like hits
- Working towards realistic strip-like FTD geometry