

LXXV International Conference «NUCLEUS – 2025. Nuclear physics, elementary particle physics and nuclear technologies»

Theoretical Modeling of pp Collision-Induced Neutrino Emission from Astrophysical Sources

Ji-Hoon Ha (Korea AeroSpace Administration)



Cosmic Rays (CRs) from Astrophysical Objects





(1) Galactic Cosmic ray

From supernova remnants...

(2) Extragalactic Cosmic ray

From clusters of galaxies, starburst galaxies, active galactic nuclei, gamma-ray bursts...

→ Neutrino emissions from astrophysical objects (??)

Astrophysical Shock and pp collision-induced Neutrino



Acceleration Mechanism in Astrophysical Shocks

- Generation of plasma waves and particle acceleration → plasma kinetic simulation
 - (e.g., Ha et al. 2018, 2021, 2022)



Particle acceleration modeling based on Diffusive Shock Acceleration (DSA) → Fokker-Planck equation

(e.g., Ryu et al. 2019, Ha 2024, 2025)



Observation of Astrophysical Neutrinos: (1) IceCube

 \rightarrow Detecting astrophysical neutrinos in the energy range of TeV – PeV (e.g., Aartsen et al. 2014a)

Neutrino flux as a function of energy



Observation of Astrophysical Neutrinos: (2) KM3Net

 \rightarrow Detecting astrophysical neutrinos in the energy range of TeV – PeV

(e.g., The KM3Net Collaboration 2025)

Locations of KM3Net



6'W 4'W 2'W 0'E 2'E 4'E 6'E 8'E 10'E 12'E 14'E 16 E 18'E 20'E 22'E 24'E 26'E 28'E 30'E 32'E 34'E 36'E 38'E 40'E 42'



Figure 1-6: Locations of the sites of the three Mediterranean neutrino telescope projects.



. ● #7 ↓ #10

#6

#11 #12

91

0 #2

[#1

RA J2000 (°)

KM3-230213A

\$ #3

0 #4

J2000 (°)

-8

-10

-11

→ Detecting astrophysical neutrinos is challenging, but could it be possible?

Observation of Astrophysical Neutrinos: (3) Baikal-GVD

→ Detecting astrophysical neutrinos in the energy range of TeV – PeV (e.g., Aynutdinov et al. 2023)

Structure of Baikal-GVD

blazar TXS 0506+056 (e.g., Baikal-GVD collaboration 2023, ICRC)

→ Detecting astrophysical neutrinos is challenging, but could it be possible?

Observation via Korean Neutrino Observatory (KNO)

Measured and expected fluxes of

natural and reactor neutrinos 2nd Hyper-K Detector in Korea (KNO) 1020 Cosmological v 1016 Solar v Hyper-K Hyper-K 1012 Supernova burst (1987A) 10⁸ MeV⁻¹) Reactor anti-v 104 Background from old supernovae 10-4 2.5 deg. off axis Flux (cm⁻² Terrestrial anti-v 10-8 2.5 deg. off axis Atmospheric v 10-12 10-16 v from AGN The J-PARC v beam comes to Korea. 10-20 Cosmogenic 10-24 400-km 1000 km 600 km 1200 km 800 km 10-28 10¹⁸ EeV 10-6 10-3 10³ 106 109 101 1015 JPAR MeV GeV Te) PeV ueV meV eV keV **Neutrino energy** KNO (10 MeV ~1 TeV ??) → Can astrophysical objects be identified as point-like see hep-ph/0504061 By K. Hagiwara, N. Okamoura, K. Senda **Off-axis angle** neutrino sources via KNO?

Neutrino emission related to <u>non-relativistic shocks</u> is the main focus of this talk.

→ Clusters of galaxies

→ Starburst galaxies (SBGs)

→ Supernova remnants (SNRs)

Clusters of Galaxies: CR Acceleration in Intracluster Shocks

 $\log \eta$

-2

Ha, Ryu and Kang 2020

Cosmological hydrodynamic simulations [L = 57 Mpc/h box (1650 cells)]

CR Production by Intracluster Shock

• CR Spectrum: Diffusive Shock Acceleration (DSA)

$$f_{\rm CR}(p) \approx n_2 \frac{\exp(-Q_{\rm i}^2)}{\pi^{1.5} p_{\rm th,p}^3} \left(\frac{p}{p_{\rm inj}}\right)^{-q} \text{ for } p \ge p_{\rm inj}$$

Integrated CR Spectrum in a Cluster of Galaxies

$$\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{CR}(p) dp = \sum_{Q_{\parallel}, M_s \ge 2.25} 4\pi s_{sh} u_2 f_{CR}(p) p^2 dp$$

• CR Density in a Cluster of Galaxies

$$n_{\rm CR}(r, p) \ dp \approx n_{\rm CR0} \left[\frac{\bar{n}_{\rm gas}(r)}{n_{\rm gas}(0)} \right]^{\delta} \left(\frac{p}{{\rm GeV}/c} \right)^{-\alpha_p^r} \frac{dp}{{\rm GeV}/c}$$

Normalization Condition

$$\int_{< r_{200}} \int n_{\rm CR}(r, p) \, dp \, dV = \int \mathcal{N}_{\rm CR}(p) \, dp$$

Predicted Neutrino Fluxes from Nearby Galaxy Clusters Ha, Ryu and Kang 2020

(1) q_{ν} : neutrino source function

(2) The energy spectrum of neutrinos $\frac{dL_{\nu}}{dE_{\nu}} = \int_{\langle r_{200}} q_{\nu}(r, E_{\nu}) dV$

(3) The neutrino flux

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\nu}}{dE_{\nu}} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 R_{\rm vir}^2} \frac{dL_{\nu}}{dE_{\nu}}$$

→ The probability of detecting nearby galaxy clusters as an isolated neutrino source with KNO (or IceCube) is very small.

Starburst galaxies \rightarrow high star formation rate (SFR $\sim 2 - 200 M_{sun}/yr$) + high supernova rate ($\sim 0.02 - 2 yr^{-1}$):

→ powerful CR factories!

Ha, Ryu and Kang 2021

CR production model in SBN

CR produced by a collection of shocks induced by supernova remnants

(1) single PL model

$$\mathcal{N}_{SN,p}(p) \propto \left(\frac{p}{m_p c}\right)^{-\alpha_{SN}} \exp\left(-\frac{p}{p_{max}}\right) \qquad p_{max} \simeq 1 - 100 \text{ PeV}/c}$$

$$\alpha_{SN} \simeq 4.2 - 4.5$$

$$\alpha_{SN,1} \simeq 4.2 - 4.5$$

$$\alpha_{SN,2} \simeq 3.95 - 4.1$$
(2) double PL model:

$$\mathcal{N}_{SN,p}(p) \propto \begin{cases} \left(\frac{p}{m_p c}\right)^{-\alpha_{SN,1}} & (p < p_{brk}) \\ \left(\frac{p}{m_p c}\right)^{-\alpha_{SN,2}} \exp\left(-\frac{p}{p_{max}}\right) & (p \ge p_{brk}), \end{cases}$$

CR produced by a collection of shocks induced by stellar winds

$$\mathcal{N}_{\rm SW,p}(p) \propto \left(\frac{p}{m_p c}\right)^{-\alpha_{\rm SW}} \exp\left(-\frac{p}{p_{\rm max}}\right) \qquad \alpha_{\rm SW} \simeq 4.0 - 4.5$$

 $p_{\rm max} \simeq 1 - 100 \ {\rm PeV/c}$

CR transport model in SBN

(1) SBN wind: advection of CRs, a phenomenon that typically acts in the same way for CRs of any energy.

(2) Diffusion mediated by turbulence: ??

Model A (Sudoh et al. 2018, Peretti et al. 2019): - CR diffusion through resonant scattering off the large-scale turbulence in SBN.

⇒ CRs are efficiently confined in SBN!

Model B (Krumholz et al. 2020):

- CRs interact with self-excited plasma waves via CR streaming instability.

⇒ CRs easily escape from SBN!

Predicted Neutrino Fluxes from Nearby SBGs Ha, Ryu and Kang 2021

→ Except in the most optimistic scenario, the neutrino fluxes from nearby SBGs are too low to be detected as point sources.

To Understand the Scientific Potential of Neutrino Observatories to Detect Neutrinos from Supernova Remnants

- \rightarrow **Source neutrino fluxes** in the energy range of GeV PeV
- → Searching galactic gamma-ray sources
- → Number of events??
- → Observation time??
- <u>→ etc...??</u>

All of these issues require detailed investigation.

Scenario of Gamma-ray Production: Leptonic vs Hadronic

Inverse-Compton Scattering (leptonic)

Can galactic neutrino sources be detected as point sources of neutrinos?

Ratio of the sensitivity and the expected flux (Aiello et al. 2019)

 Φ_{90} : minimum detectable flux (sensitivity)

 Φ_0 : expected flux inferred from gamma-ray flux by H.E.S.S.

Number of expected events in 5 yr (kappes et al. 2006)

Predicted Neutrino Fluxes from Galactic Sources Derived Ha et al. in prep.

Estimated neutrino fluxes inferred from fully hydronic scenario

$$\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\alpha} E_{\nu}^{2} \phi_{\nu_{\alpha}}(z, E_{\nu}) \sim \frac{K_{\pi}}{4} E_{\gamma}^{2} \phi_{\gamma}^{(obs)}(z, E_{\gamma})$$
* $\alpha = \mu, e, \tau$
* $E_{\gamma} \approx 2E_{\nu}$
* K_{π} : the relative charged-to-neutral pion rate

2[°] search cone (diameter of galactic sources <~ 2[°])

- IceCube, KM3NET, Baikal-GVD: ~ TeV - PeV
- → Neutrinos from galactic sources may be detected via KNO (??) 19/22

Dependence on the Fraction of Hadronic Gamma-rays

$$N_{evt} = T \int_{E_{\nu,min}}^{E_{\nu,max}} \phi_{\nu}(E_{\nu}) \cdot A_{eff}(E_{\nu},\delta) dE_{\nu}$$

Ha et al. in prep.

- * $A_{eff}(E_{\nu}, \delta)$: Effective area of IceCube
- * f_{π_0} : Fraction of hadronically originated gamma-rays

Summary

Modeling

- → Particle acceleration at astrophysical shocks
- \rightarrow Constraints from gamma-ray observations and neutrino flux predictions

• Clusters of galaxies

 \rightarrow Detection of neutrino emission is generally unlikely.

• Starburst galaxies (SBGs)

 \rightarrow There is a minor possibility of detection by increasing the sensitivity of detectors (e.g., IceCube-Gen2).

Supernova remnants (SNRs)

 \rightarrow Detecting neutrino emission appears likely by stacking data from multiple supernova remnants (SNRs) over 10 to 20 years.

LXXV International Conference «NUCLEUS – 2025. Nuclear physics, elementary particle physics and nuclear technologies»

Спасибо большое!

Contact: Ji-Hoon Ha jhha223@korea.kr / hjhspace223@gmail.com